

REMARKS

Claims 1-76 are currently active.

Claims 27-76 have been added.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-26 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent 6,671,773. Applicants have enclosed a double patenting terminal disclaimer to obviate this rejection.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 17-24 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The Examiner questions the limitation "the ID" in line 2 of Claim 17. Claim 17 has been amended to obviate this rejection.


The Examiner has objected to Claims 7-15 and Claims 20-24.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6, 16-19, 25 and 26 as being anticipated by Manczek. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Applicants enclose a Declaration Under Rule 132 swearing behind Manczek. Accordingly, Claims 1-16, 16-19, 25 and 26 are not anticipated by Manczek.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejections and objections to this application be reconsidered and withdrawn, and Claims 1-76, now in this application be allowed.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the U.S. Postal
Service as first class mail in an envelope
addressed to: Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-
1450 on 3/17/06


Ansel M. Schwartz
Registration No. 30,587

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL L. KAZAR, ET AL.

By 

Ansel M. Schwartz, Esquire
Reg. No. 30,587
One Sterling Plaza
201 N. Craig Street
Suite 304
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 621-9222

Attorney for Applicants